Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,725	FACHE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rosalynd Keys	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application: 41	
(1) <u>Rosalynd Keys</u> .	(3)	·
(2) Louie Malloy for Norman H. Stepno.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2007	Time: <u>10:24 a.m.</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: NONE		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
	•	
		·
Rasahd (as		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Mr. Stepno to inquire as to whether a response was filed along with the extension of time filed September 14, 2007. Ms. Malloy, on behalf of Mr. Stepno, informed me that a response was not filed and that this application was to go abandoned, in favor of the continuation application, which was filed September 14, 2007.